Combined Effect on Antioxidant Properties of Gymnema Sylvestre and Combretum Micranthum Leaf Extracts and the Relationship to Hypoglycemia
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Abstract

Gymnema sylvestre R.Br. (“periploca of the woods” in English; “Kafisuga” in Hausa) (Asclepiadaceae) and Combretum micranthum, Fam. (Géézá in Hausa) (Combretaceae) are used in combination for the management of diabetes mellitus (DM) in the North-Eastern part of Nigeria with little or no scientific basis. It is thus the aim of this research to validate the anti-diabetic activities of G. sylvestre (GS) and C. micranthum (CM) leaf extracts, individually and in combined form (GSCM) as well as look at the relationship between antioxidant capacity and anti-hyperglycemic potential of these plants. The study assayed for anti-diabetic potential by following fasting blood glucose (FBG). Levels of liver catalase (CAT), malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), reaction oxygen species (ROS), reduced glutathione (GSH), oxidized glutathione (GSSG) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and radical scavenging activities of the plants were assayed for spectrophotometrically. GS and CM revealed good ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and radical scavenging activities against ABTS, DPPH and Nitric Oxide with C. micranthum being significantly better - both plants also showed good total flavonoids and total phenolic contents. The anti-hyperglycemic activities may be associated with flavonoids and phenolic compounds which act via radical scavenging/antioxidant properties of the plants’ extracts. In conclusion, G. sylvestre and C. micranthum aqueous leaf extracts showed significant (P < 0.05) hypoglycaemic activities both separately and in a 1:1 combination
which has strong correlation with both in vitro and in vivo antioxidant activities of the plants’ extracts.
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**Introduction**

Antioxidants protect cells against the damaging effects of reactive oxygen species otherwise called, free radicals such as singlet oxygen, super oxide, peroxyl radicals, hydroxyl radicals and peroxynitrite which results in oxidative stress leading to cellular damage. Natural antioxidants play a key role in health maintenance and prevention of the chronic and degenerative diseases, such as atherosclerosis, cardiac and cerebral ischemia, carcinogenesis, neurodegenerative disorders, diabetic pregnancy, rheumatic disorder, DNA damage and ageing (Touré et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2012; Prathapan et al., 2012; Adedara et al., 2014; Mistry and Mona, 2015). Antioxidants exert their activity by scavenging the ‘free-oxygen radicals’ thereby giving rise to a fairly ‘stable radical’. The free radicals are metastable chemical species, which tend to trap electrons from the molecules in the immediate surroundings. These radicals if not scavenged effectively in time, may damage crucial biomolecules like lipids, proteins including those present in all membranes, mitochondria and the DNA, resulting in abnormalities leading to disease conditions (Timbrell, 1996). Thus, free radicals are involved in a number of diseases including: tumour inflammation, haemorrhagic shock, atherosclerosis, diabetes, infertility, gastrointestinal ulcerogenesis, asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, cardiovascular disorders, cystic fibrosis, neurodegenerative diseases (e.g. Parkinsonism, Alzheimer’s diseases), AIDS and even early senescence. The human body produces insufficient amount of antioxidants which are essential for preventing oxidative stress. Free radicals generated in the body can be removed by the body’s own natural antioxidant defences such as glutathione or catalases. Therefore, these natural antioxidants had to be compensated by making use of natural exogenous ones, such as vitamin C, vitamin E, flavones, β-carotene and some natural products in plants (Doughari, 2012).

Plants contain a wide variety of free radicals scavenging molecules including phenols, flavonoids, vitamins, terpenoids that are rich in antioxidant activity. *Combretum micranthum* Fam. belong to the family of Combretaceae. It is a widely known ethnomedicinal plant used in West Africa for treating several conditions and in North-western Nigeria; it is used for managing diabetes mellitus. Ethanol extract of *Combretum micranthum* leaves is reported to be rich in polyphenols (tannins, flavonoids and other components) constituents known to possess various beneficial pharmacological properties such as antioxidant, anti-mutagenic, anti-
carcinogenic, hypolipidemic and cardioprotective activities (Chika and Bello, 2010). The antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-diarrhoal as well as anti-inflammatory properties of the plant have also been documented (Touré et al., 2011; Abdullahi et al., 2014). Polyphenols in C. micranthum were also found to be responsible for its hypoglycemic and anti-diabetic property (Upendra et al., 2010). G. sylvestre R.Br. is a perennial, woody climber belonging to family Asclepiadaceae or the “milk weed” family. The genus consists of 40 species, some of which like G. sylvestre, G. montanum, G. yunnanense, and G. inodorum have medicinal properties. The plant is found in tropical and subtropical regions; it is well distributed in parts of central and southern India and in the southern part of China, tropical Africa, Malaysia, and Sri Lanka. G. sylvestre is slow growing herb, found ideally in tropical and subtropical humid climate and common in hills of evergreen forests (Tiwari et al., 2014). Leaves of G. sylvestre have acidic glycosides and anthraquinones and their derivatives. The major secondary metabolites in Gymnema includes a group of nine closely related acidic glycosides, the main are gymnemic acid A–D and found in all parts of the plant; gymnemic acid has been found to interact with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, a key enzyme in glycolysis pathway and the findings also indicated that the gymnemic acids play an integral role in the anti-hyperglycemic activity of GS (Tiwari et al., 2014).

Materials and methods
Materials
Plant material collection and identification

Fresh samples of the plants Gymnema sylvestre (GS) and Combretum micranthum (CM) were obtained from Shira Local Government Area (N 11° 27’ 29” and E 10° 2’ 48”) of Bauchi State in Nigeria. The plants were identified at the herbarium unit of Department of Biological Sciences, Bayero University, Kano (B.U.K.) and voucher specimens, with number BUKHAN 0349 (for Gymnema sylvestre) and BUKHAN 0272 (for Combretum micranthum) were then deposited in the same herbarium.

Animals

Adult male wistar rats (150 – 200 g body weight) were purchased from the department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. They were kept in standard metal animal cages at room temperature in the animal house of Biological Sciences Department, B.U.K., were allowed free access to food and water and allowed to acclimatize for a week prior to use. The protocol of the study was according to international guidelines i.e. the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Test Guidelines (TG407) (OECD, 2006).
Chemicals, Reagents and Equipment

All the chemicals and reagents used for this work were of analytical grade and purchased from reputable chemical manufacturers, e.g. SIGMA-ALDRICH-FLUKA. The laboratory equipment were also of standard quality.

Methods

Preparation of plant material (extracts)

The leaves of the plants Gymnema sylvestre (GS) and Combretum micranthum (CM) used for the study were plucked from the stem, washed and then dried under shade. The dried samples were then ground into powder in a laboratory mortar using a pestle. The powdered samples were then kept in a closed plastic container for use in the experiment.

Aqueous Extraction: Two hundred grams of the air-dried samples of each plant were cold extracted with 2000ml of distilled water – 3X.

DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

2,2- diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity was measured using the method as described in Naskar et al, (2010). Three (3) ml of reaction mixture containing 0.2 ml of DPPH (100 µM in methanol) and 2.8 ml of test solution, at various concentrations (5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320 µg/ml) of the extract/fractions was incubated at 37°C for 30 min, absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 517 nm using spectrophotometer. The percentage inhibition of DPPH radical was calculated by comparing the results of the test with those of the control (not treated with extract) using the following equation:

Percentage inhibition = (1– absorbance of test/absorbance of control) × 100 ......... (1)

Nitric Oxide Radical Scavenging Activity

One (1) ml of 10 mM sodium nitroprusside was mixed with 1 ml of test solution of different concentrations (80, 160, 320, 500, 800, 1000 µg/ml) in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and the mixture incubated at 25°C for 150 min. From the incubated mixture, 1 ml was taken out, 1 ml of Griess’ reagent (1% sulphanilamide, 2% o-phosphoric acid 0.1% naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride) added to it. Absorbance of the chromophore formed by the diazotization of nitrite with sulphanilamide subsequent coupling with naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride was read at 546 nm and percentage inhibition calculated by comparing the results of the test with those of the control using Eq. (1) above Naskar et. al., (2010)....
**Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP)**

Reducing power of the test samples was determined on the basis of the ability of their antioxidant principles to form coloured complex with potassium ferricyanide, TCA, FeCl₃. It was measured by the method reported by Naskar et. al., (2010). A 1 ml of different concentrations (25, 50, 100, 200, 400 µg/ml) of the extract fractions was mixed with potassium ferricyanide (2.5 ml, 1%), 2.5 ml of phosphate buffer (pH 6.6). The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. 2.5 ml TCA (10%) was added to it and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. 2.5 ml of supernatant was taken out and to this 2.5 ml water, 0.5 ml FeCl₃ (0.1%) was added and absorbance measured at 700 nm. Higher absorbance of the reaction mixture indicated higher reducing power.

**ABTS Scavenging Effects**

The antioxidant effect of the leaf extracts was also studied using ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis-3-ethyl benzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) radical cation decolourisation assay according to the method of Shirwaikar et. al., (2006). Briefly, ABTS radical cations (ABTS⁺) were produced by reacting ABTS solution (7mM) with 2.45mM ammonium persulphate. The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 hours before use. Aliquots (0.5ml) of the extracts were added to 0.3ml of ABTS solution and the final volume was made up to 1ml with ethanol. The absorbance was read at 745nm in a spectrophotometer and the per cent inhibition was calculated using the formula below.

\[
SCAVENGING\ \textit{ACTIVITY} (\%) = \frac{\text{[ABSORBANCE 745nm of control} - \text{ABSORBANCE 745 nm of sample}] \times 100}{\text{[ABSORBANCE 745nm of control}]
\]

**Total Antioxidant Capacity**

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of the plant samples was determined using an automated measurement method, developed by Erel (2005) with modification – ascorbic acid was used in place of trolox. In this method, the characteristic colour of ABTS⁺ is bleached by antioxidants present in the sample. This reaction can be monitored spectrophotometrically and the bleaching rate is inversely related to the TAC of the sample. The reaction rate is calibrated with ascorbic acid, as a traditional standard, and the assay results are expressed in ascorbic acid equivalent, µg/g dry weight of plant sample.

**Catalase activity (CAT)**

This is based on coupled oxidation, according to the method of Clairborne (1995); 50µl of sample was mixed with the reagents ammonium ferrous sulphate (2.5ml), sorbitol (250µl), xylenol orange (100µl), H₂O₂
(25µl), and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The absorbance was read at 560nm spectrophotometrically. The concentration of hydrogen peroxide generated was extrapolated from the standard curve.

**Total Plasma Peroxide (TPP)/Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)**

Total Plasma Peroxide (TPP) levels were determined using the ferrous oxidation (FOX2) method (Miyazawa, 1989) with minor modifications (Yeni et al, 2005).

200µL of plasma + 1800µL FOX-2 reagent was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 3000rpm for 10 minutes. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 560nm. Total plasma peroxide content of the homogenate samples were determined using a solution of H₂O₂ (hydrogen peroxide) as standard (100µM H₂O₂).

**Reduced (GSH) & Oxidized (GSSG) Glutathione Levels**

The method of Beutler et al. (1963) was followed in estimating the level of reduced glutathione (GSH). An aliquot of the sample was deproteinised by the addition of an equal volume of 4% sulphur-salicylic acid. This was centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Thereafter, 0.5ml of the supernatant was added to 4.5ml of Ellman’s reagent. A blank was prepared with 0.5ml of the diluted precipitating agent and 4.5ml of Ellman’s reagent. Reduced glutathione, GSH, is proportional to the absorbance at 412nm. For GSSG determination, 2-vinylpyridine was used to derivatize GSH (Puertas et al., 2012).

**Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) Activity**

The level of SOD activity was determined by the method of Misra and Fridovich (1972). A 1ml sample was diluted in 9ml of distilled water to make a 1 in 10 dilution. An aliquot of 0.2ml of the diluted sample was added to 2.5ml of 0.05M carbonate buffer (pH 10.2) to equilibrate in the spectrophotometer and the reaction started by the addition of 0.3ml of freshly prepared 0.3mM adrenaline to the mixture which was quickly mixed by inversion. The reference cuvette contained 2.5ml buffer, 0.3ml of substrate (adrenaline) and 0.2ml of water. The increase in absorbance at 480nm was monitored every 30 seconds for 150 seconds. 1 unit of SOD activity was given as the amount of SOD necessary to cause 50% inhibition of the oxidation of adrenaline.

**Glutathione Peroxidase Assay**

This is based on coupled oxidation according to Rotruck et al., (1973) method. The assay protocol is as tabulated below.
Assay protocol:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate buffer</td>
<td>500µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaN3</td>
<td>100µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>200µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>100µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>500µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>600µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The whole reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 3 minutes after which 0.5ml of TCA was added and thereafter centrifuged at 3000rpm for 5 minutes. To 1ml of each of the supernatants, 2ml of K₂HPO₄ and 1ml of DTNB was added and the absorbance was read at 412nm against a blank. Glutathione peroxidase activity was extrapolated from the standard curve.

Estimation of Malondialdehyde (MDA) (Niehaus and Samuelson, 1968)

Lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) produced during lipid peroxidation. A 200µl of the sample was deproteinised with 0.5ml of Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and centrifuged at 3000rpm for 10mins. One (1) ml of 0.75% TBA was added to 0.1ml of supernatant and boiled in water bath for 20 minutes at 100°C and then cooled on iced water. The absorbance was read spectrophotometrically at 535nm.

The concentration of MDA was calculated by the absorbance coefficient of MDA-TBA complex at 1.56 x 10⁵ cm⁻¹ M⁻¹, and expressed in µmol TBARS mg⁻¹ tissue protein.

Induction of Diabetes

Diabetes mellitus (IDDM) was induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (60mg/kg) in sterile citrate buffer (pH 4.5; 0.1M) to rats (Chatzigeorgiou et. al., 2009) after an overnight fast.

Experimental design (Antidiabetic Studies)

The aqueous plant extracts were used for this phase of the study. A total of 36 rats were used for this phase of the experiment and they were divided into six groups with six rats per group as outlined below:

- Group 1, normal untreated rats (given only water; 0.5ml/100g body weight);
- Group 2, diabetic control rats (given only water; 0.5ml/100g body weight);
- Group 3, diabetic rats treated with GS alone (600 mg/kg body weight);
- Group 4, diabetic rats treated with CM alone (600 mg/kg body weight);
Group 5, diabetic rats treated with GSCM (1:1) (600 mg/kg body weight);
Group 6, diabetic rats treated with glibenclamide (6 mg/kg body weight) (Ramkumar et al., 2011).

Results

In-vitro Antioxidant (Radical Scavenging) Activity

The aqueous extracts of GS and CM leaves showed good total flavonoids and total phenolic contents with GS being significantly (P < 0.05) better than CM. Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of the two plants also revealed GS to be better than the CM aqueous leaf extract (Figure 1). Also, the aqueous leaf extract of GS displayed significantly better scavenging activities against ABTS, DPPH and Nitric Oxide when compared to the CM extract, with an IC50 of between 57.26 and 9.34 µg/ml (Figure 2).

In vivo Antioxidant Activity

Catalase, GSH, GSSG and GSH – Px of the liver homogenate did not show any significant changes – there is a general insignificant increases. However, MDA levels in the liver increased significantly (P < 0.05) in the group administered 600mg/kg b.w. GS (Table 1) with the group administered 1:1 GSCM showing the least increase in MDA levels.

Antidiabetic Studies

Diabetic control group showed increase in FBG which was reduced significantly when treated with GS, CM and GSCM (1:1) after two weeks (Table 2). Percentage decrease in FBG correlates significantly with ABTS, DPPH and nitric oxide (Table 3) on one hand, and also with MDA on the other (Table 4). Moreover, total flavonoid / total phenolic contents showed strong correlations with the levels of the radicals ABTS, DPPH and nitric oxide (Table 3). Moreso, ROS and MDA showed significantly strong correlation (Table 4).
**Figure 1:** Ferric reducing antioxidant power, total flavonoids & total phenolics contents of aqueous leaf extracts of *G. sylvestre* and *C. micranthum*.

Values expressed as Mean ± SEM of triplicate measurements, with those bearing different superscripts on the same cluster significantly different (P < 0.05). AAE = ascorbic acid equivalent; QE = quercetin equivalent; GAE = gallic acid equivalent.

**Figure 2:** Radical Scavenging Activities of Aqueous Leaf Extracts of *G. sylvestre* & *C. micranthum*.

Values expressed as Mean ± SEM of triplicate measurements, with those bearing different superscripts on the same cluster significantly different (P < 0.05).
Table 1: Some Liver Antioxidant Indices of Rats Administered G. Sylvestre, C. micranthum and 1:1 Combination of Their Aqueous Leaf Extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>CAT (μmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>TPP/ROS (μmol/min/mg protein)</th>
<th>GSH (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>GSSG (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>SOD (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>GSH-PX (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>MDA (μmol TBA/200mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal control</td>
<td>10.00±2.19</td>
<td>14.87±3.37</td>
<td>6.37±1.58</td>
<td>4.67±0.35</td>
<td>5.87±0.74</td>
<td>47.23±3.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 600 GS</td>
<td>9.83±1.12</td>
<td>23.10±4.38</td>
<td>5.53±0.78</td>
<td>5.77±1.12</td>
<td>5.67±0.63</td>
<td>7.37±0.52</td>
<td>87.49±6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 600 CM</td>
<td>8.03±1.35</td>
<td>19.33±1.10</td>
<td>5.47±0.69</td>
<td>5.07±0.87</td>
<td>5.07±1.2</td>
<td>6.63±0.69</td>
<td>78.17±11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 600 GSCM</td>
<td>8.00±1.70</td>
<td>19.90±2.60</td>
<td>6.73±0.90</td>
<td>7.1±1.37</td>
<td>5.43±0.52</td>
<td>4.97±0.46</td>
<td>61.67±15.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± standard error of mean with those bearing different superscripts within the same column being significantly (P < 0.05) different. N = 6.

Table 2: Percentage (%) Changes in Mean Fasting Blood Glucose of Streptozotocin-Diabetic Rats Administered Different Doses of G. sylvestre, C. micranthum and 1:1 Combination of Their Aqueous Leaf Extracts for 14 Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage (%) change in Fasting Blood Glucose (FBG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal control</td>
<td>-7.39±4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + control</td>
<td>8.77±5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + 600 GS</td>
<td>-33.87±13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + 600 CM</td>
<td>-68.26±4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic + 600 GSCM</td>
<td>-34.97±15.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+Glibenclamide</td>
<td>-38.10±10.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values Mean ± SEM with those bearing different superscripts under the same column significantly different (P < 0.05); N = 6; (+) preceding a value means % increase in FBG; (-) preceding a value means % decrease in FBG.

Table 3: Relationship between % Decrease in FBG of Rats and In vitro Antioxidant Capacity of Gymnema sylvestre and Combretum micranthum Leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pearson Correlations</th>
<th>% FBG</th>
<th>TOT FLAV</th>
<th>TOT PHENOL</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>NOXIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% FBG</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>.343</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>.847**</td>
<td>.719*</td>
<td>.810*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td>.657</td>
<td>.625</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4: Relationship between % Decrease in FBG, In vivo Antioxidant/Peroxidation Status in Rats Administered Gymnema sylvestre and Combretum micranthum Aqueous Leaf Extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pearson Correlations</th>
<th>% FBG</th>
<th>MDA</th>
<th>ROS</th>
<th>CAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% FBG</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>.337*</td>
<td>.282</td>
<td>.393*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>.337*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.530**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>.282</td>
<td>.530**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>.393*</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>.150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). r = correlation coefficient; p = significance level.

Discussion

Superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) are endogenous antioxidant enzymes responsible for the detoxification of deleterious oxygen radicals and their activities are used to assess oxidative stress in cells. The first line of defense to the cells is provided by the existence of a mutually supportive relationship between metalloenzyme SOD, which accelerates the dismutation of endogenous cytotoxic superoxide radicals to H₂O₂, and CAT, which converts the deleterious peroxy radicals into water and oxygen. In the present study, the activity of hepatic SOD was increased in the treated rats. The induction of this antioxidant enzyme may indicate an adaptive response
to counter the damaging effect of oxidative stress possibly generated during metabolism. Glutathione plays a pivotal role in the scavenging of hydroxyl radical and singlet oxygen directly as well as in the detoxification of hydrogen peroxides and lipid hydro-peroxides by the activity of GSH-Px. Further, GST is involved in the biochemical conjugation of electrophilic oxidants with GSH to form water soluble compound products that are readily excreted from the system. The observed decrease in the hepatic GSH level (for GS & CM individually) in the present study may suggest an increased demand or overutilization of GSH by the cell possibly to combat ROS generation in the rats – however, in combined form, GSCM increased GSH levels signifying better ability to combat radicals through the Phase II reaction mechanism. The deleterious chemical effects of H$_2$O$_2$ molecules can be divided into the categories of direct activity, originating from their oxidizing properties, and indirect activity in which they serve as a source for more deleterious species, such as hydroxyl radicals and hypochlorous acid. Reactive oxygen species attack cellular components containing polyunsaturated fatty acid residues to produce peroxyl radicals which undergo a cyclization reaction to form endoperoxides and eventually trans-4-hydroxy-2-nonenal and MDA. The increases in the hepatic MDA levels observed in this study clearly indicate a state of stress in the tissues possibly induced by the extracts or their metabolites.

Ability of the plants to combat oxidative stress is further supported by in vitro antioxidants (radical scavenging) activities where they were found to have scavenging activities against DPPH, ABTS and Nitric Oxide with a very good FRAP – all the in vitro antioxidant parameters correlate well with the in vivo ones; which agree with the work of Touré et al. (2011). The radical scavenging activities of the plants could be attributed to the total phenolics and total flavonoids contents (Aiyegoro & Okoh 2010; Djeridane et al., 2010; Prathapan et al., 2012), compounds that are capable of donating hydrogen to a free radical in order to remove odd electron which is responsible for radical's reactivity. The scavenging activity of ABTS$^+$ radical by the plants extract was found to be appreciable; this implies that the plant extract may be useful for treating radical related pathological damage.

Nitric oxide (NO) is a reactive free radical produced by phagocytes and endothelial cells, to yield more reactive species such as peroxynitrite which can be decomposed to form OH radical. The level of nitric oxide was significantly reduced in this study by the crude extracts. Since NO plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of inflammation, these plants (GS & CM) might be useful in curbing ulcerations. Plants with antioxidant activities have been reported to possess free radical scavenging activity. Free radicals are known as major contributors to several clinical disorders such as diabetes mellitus, cancer, liver diseases, renal failure and degenerative diseases as a
result of deficient natural antioxidant defense mechanism (Aiyegoro & Okoh, 2010; Alexiou & Demopoulos, 2010; Yasir et. al., 2012; Mistry and Mona, 2015).

Hyperglycaemia-induced impairments in redox balance are considered a key trigger of diabetic complications, through up-regulated generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), together with an impaired ability of the endogenous antioxidant defense system to remove them (Huynh et. al., 2013). The current study has displayed the ability of the plants, GS and CM to have glucose lowering effect which correlates well with reduced ROS in rats and improved antioxidant parameters like CAT, GSH, GSSG, GSH-Px and MDA, hence suggesting that the plants improved ability of the endogenous antioxidant defense system could be through their hypoglycaemic activity.

Conclusion

*G. sylvestre* and *C. micranthum* leaf extracts showed reduction in ROS and MDA. Both plants also displayed radical scavenging activities against ABTS, DPPH and Nitric Oxide which is not unconnected with the high total flavonoids and total phenolic contents which might be responsible for the hypoglycaemia.
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