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**Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Rating Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a brief explanation is recommendable)
The title is adequate to the content.

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. 3

(An explanation is recommendable)
The abstract clearly presents the elements needed, nonetheless the methodology should be changed and in the key words is necessary to consider nursing intervention in them.

Presenta claramente los elementos sin embargo hay que modificar la metodología y en las palabras claves hay que considerar la intervención de enfermería.

3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. 3

(a brief explanation is recommendable)
There are no grammatical or spelling mistakes, but the text needs adjustments.

No hay errores ortográficos pero si es necesario revisar la redacción

4. The study methods are explained clearly. 2

(An explanation is recommendable)
The methodology is explained, however it needs to be checked because according to the characteristics mentioned it is talked about a cross section study with detailed design not about a quasi-experimental study; in addition the sample is small, there is no control group and the text does not explain clearly why out of 22 patients only 9 were selected. Also there is not mentioned any nursing intervention or where it took part in it; there is not a list of the evaluation points in the collation list which is quoted as synonym of the observation guide whose characteristics are different. The paper does not mention either how many items contains the instrument and which style was used to be developed.

Está explicado la metodología pero hay que revisar porque según las características se trata de un estudio con diseño descriptivo de corte trasversal, no se trata de un estudio cuasi-experimental la muestra es pequeña no hay grupo control y no explican claramente por qué de 22 pacientes seleccionaron a 9, no mencionan en que consiste la intervención de enfermería, los puntos que evalúa la lista de cotejo y la citan como sinónimo de guía de observación y la guía tiene características diferentes, tampoco menciona cuantas ítems contiene el instrumento y con qué estilo se formuló.

5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. 3

(An explanation is recommendable)
The text has structure but its justification is long and has background features and generally is implied in the introduction. It is necessary to define the nursing intervention as variable. Also the ethical issues are pretty extended and need to be reduced I consider that the methodology can be described widely.

Cuenta con estructura, pero la justificación está extensa y tiene características de antecedentes y generalmente va implícita en la introducción, también debe definirse intervención de enfermería como variable, así mismo las consideraciones éticas están bastante extendidas y
creo que se puede reducir y describir ampliamente la metodología.

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.  
(An explanation is recommendable)
There is a conclusion and the nursing intervention effectiveness is cited by showing changes and benefits to the patient.
Si existe conclusión y cita la efectividad de la intervención de enfermería mostrando cambios y beneficios para el paciente

7. The references are comprehensive and according to the APA citation style.  
(All the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice versa)
The references are in the APA style. In the text there are 26 cites but in the bibliography there are 36. 
Las referencias están con estilo APA solo que en el cuerpo del documento hay 26 citas y en la bibliografía son 36

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accepted, no revision needed</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accepted, minor revisions needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return for major revision and resubmission</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is necessary to check the methodology. Also it is important to reduce the justification and ethical precepts. Chart 1 does not contain font and the references do not match the cites of the text.

Revisar la metodología, reducir la justificación y preceptos éticos, la tabal 1 no tiene Fuente, las referencias no concuerdan con las citas del documento.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I consider that once these observations are corrected, the paper can be published because the results are good for the patients, also it contributes to the nursing field. It is only needed clarity and description of the methodology.

Sincerely
Considero que cubriendo éstas observaciones puede ser publicado pues los resultados son buenos para los pacientes, aporta a la disciplina, solo se requiere claridad y descripción de la metodología.