# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2018

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review report. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper. Do not estimate the novelty or the potential impact of the paper.

You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: Sun, Aug 26, 2018 4:57 PM	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: Tue, Aug 28, 2018			
Manuscript Title: Influence of Promotional Prospects on Turnover Intentions of Public Secondary School Teachers in Meru County				
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0928/18				

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with an explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	ele. 3	
(a brief explanation is recommendable)		
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3	
(An explanation is recommendable)		
3. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2	
The article should be grammatically edited for consistency.		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4	
(An explanation is recommendable)		

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3	
n explanation is recommendable)		
The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the ntent.		
n explanation is recommendable)		
The references are comprehensive and according to the APA ration style.	2	
ll the sources in the list of references are cited in the content and vice rsa)	3	
brief explanation is recommendable) uthors should follow APA 6 <sup>th</sup> Edition strictly, and be consistent when doing	in_tovt (	

## $\label{eq:overall Recommendation} \textbf{(mark an } X \textbf{ with your recommendation)}:$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

#### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**





