# PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL SUPPORT AS PREDICTORS OF LIFE SATISFACTION OF NIGERIAN PRISONS OFFICERS

# Ike E.Onyishi

# Obinna E. Okongwu

Department of Psychology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka

# Fabian O. Ugwu

Department of Psychology Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria

#### **Abstract**

The study examined the relationship among personality, social support and life satisfaction among 601 employees of the Nigerian Prisons Service in a southeastern state in Nigeria. Data were collected using Life Satisfaction Index-Short Form, The Big Five Inventory, and Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. The result of the multiple regression analyses showed that personality is a significant predictor of life satisfaction. Specifically, extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and neuroticism were found to predict life satisfaction. The results also showed that social support is positively related to life satisfaction. Friends support, significant others support, and family support were significant predictors of life satisfaction among the participants. The implications of these results to research and clinical practice were discussed.

Keywords: Personality, Social Support, Life Satisfaction, Prison Officers, Nigeria

#### Introduction

It seems that all human activities are geared toward making life more meaningful and the desire for happiness has been viewed as a basic and universal human drive (Ho, Cheung, & Cheung, 2008). Recently, social scientists and other researchers in related fields have focused attention on satisfaction with life across different categories of people, including the young and the old (Ho, Cheung, & Cheung, 2008, Joshanloo & Afshari, 2011; Seitz,

Hagmann, Besier, Dieluweit, Debatin, Grabow, Kaatsch, Henrich, & Goldbeck, 2011; Post, Ros, & Schrijvers, 1999; Wong, Oie, Ang, Lee, Ng, & Leng, 2007). Life satisfaction has been identified as one of the three components of subjective well-being (Diener, 1984). It is defined as one's overall assessment derivable from the comparison between one's aspiration and one's achievement (George & Bearnon, 1980). Life satisfaction connotes the outcome of self assessment, depending on one's expectation. It is determined by one's perception of how things are and how they should be. The smaller the gap, the more satisfied the person becomes.

Generally, most of the studies on life satisfaction have been done in cultures outside Africa. For example, studies on life satisfactions involved samples from many countries including the United States (e.g. Schimmack, Oishi, Furr, & Funder, 2004), Europe (e.g. Halvorsen & Heyerdahl, 2006), China (e.g. Ho, Cheung, & Cheung, 2008), Australia (e.g. Hong & Giannakopoulos, 1994) and Iran (Joshanloo & Afshari, 2011). Although, Nigerians have been described as one of the happiest people on earth, still there are limited studies on correlates of happiness and life satisfaction of Nigerians (Agbo, Nzeadibe, & Ajero, 2012).

In an attempt to understand life satisfaction, a number of models have been advanced. For instance, top-down approach model advocate for dispositional such as personality characteristic in understanding life satisfaction (Ho, Cheung & Cheung, 2008). In line with this, researchers have reported that genetic component account for about 80% variations in well-being (Lykken & Tellegen, 1996; Tellegen, et al, 1988). These findings indicated that the differences in peoples' life satisfaction are due in part to their biological differences. If this argument is dragged to the extreme, it appears there is little we could do to change peoples feeling of satisfaction as it is predominantly genetic. Thus, some individuals have predisposition to be satisfied or unsatisfied with life.

Another explanation is bottom-up model which advocates that the objective life conditions and situation determine one's level of life satisfaction (Ho, Cheung & Cheung, 2008). Satisfactions here are derived from the major domains of life such as job, health, marriage, education, and income. The average satisfaction one derives from these domain sums up to determine our overall life satisfaction. This, seems to support our generally held belief that satisfaction with domain factors like job, income, and health determine our overall life satisfaction. It is clear that some events or situations could make one to be satisfied with life. So, in addition to personality variables, situations such as available social support and the environment an individual finds him or herself may also be important determinants of life

satisfaction. This study therefore investigates personality and social support as predictors of life satisfaction of prison officers in Nigeria.

Prisons work is characterized by stress (Check & Miller, 1983; Finn, 2000). Prisons officers report increased substance abuse (Svenson, Jarvis & Campbell, 1995), high absenteeism (Brodsky, 1982; Check & Miller, 1982) and low job satisfaction (Cullen, Link, Cullen & Wolfe, 1990). Since job satisfaction has been associated with general life satisfaction (Robbins & Kliewer, 2000; Judge & Watanebe, 1993), it is therefore necessary to investigate life satisfaction of employees, especially group of workers such as prison officers that have been found to have low satisfaction in their jobs. The benefits of satisfaction with life in work setting are enormous. Life satisfaction has been linked to longevity and performance, reduced absenteeism, and increase in work motivation (Rode, 2004). This is evident as employees puts in their best when they are satisfied. Happy workers are productive workers, not necessarily because of job satisfaction (Judge, Thoresen, Bono & Patton, 2001), but because they are satisfied with life in general (Wright & Cropanzano, 2000). Prisons officers will be more productive when they are satisfied with their life. Thus, it is important to explore correlates of life satisfaction among workers in the Nigerian Prison Service.

## **Personality traits**

The characteristics reaction of an individual under different situation that is enduring and consistent is called personality traits (Costa & McCrae, 1989). Individual behavior reflects the person's personality. Evidences have pointed to the robustness of personality traits in explanation of subjective well-being (Costa, & McCrae, 1998; David & Suls, 1999). This has been admitted and applied in psychology, sociology and management (Clayson & Sheffect, 2006). The Five Factor Model ((Costa & McCrae, 1989) has been widely used in investigating the role of personality on life satisfaction. These factors of personality traits are extraversion, neuroticism, agreeableness, openness to experience and conscientiousness. Extroversion focuses mainly on quantity and intensity of relationship (Dneve & Cooper, 1998). Extraverted individuals tend to be sociable, gregarious and assertive (Costa & McCrae, 1992). They are prone to reward in interpersonal relationship (Watson & Clark, 1997), and are predisposed to experience positive emotion (Costa & McCrea, 1992). Agreeable individuals are friendly and cooperative. Related behaviors includes being flexible, trusting, forgiving and tolerant (McCrae & Costa, 1986). Associated behaviors of conscientious individual includes being careful, thorough, responsible, organized and achievement-oriented (McCrae & Costa, 1986). Openness to experience describes imaginative and carouse tendencies. Highly open people are original, cultured, broadminded and intelligent (McCaer & Costa, 1986). Individuals high in neuroticism experience more negative life event than others (Magnus, Diener, Fujita, & Pavot, 1993). Related behaviors are being anxious, depressed, emotional, worries and insecure.

A number of studies have pointed to the importance of personality traits in understanding life satisfaction (e.g., Winkelmann & Winkelmann, 2008; DeNever & Cooper, 1998; Lykken & Tellegen, 1996; Joshanloo & Afsharia, 2011; Chen, Tu & Wang, 2008), and few studies have investigated the Big-five factor of personality (e.g., Shimmack, Oishi, Furr & Funder, 2004). In Shimmack et al's (2004) study, extraversion and neuroticism were found to be the strongest predictors of life satisfaction. Other studies have also linked extraversion, neuroticism, conscientiousness and agreeableness with life satisfaction (Joshanloo & Afasharia, 2011; Brakko & Sabol, 2006: Vitterse, 2001; Chen, Tu & Wang, 2008).

The relationship between personality and life satisfaction can best be explained by Dynamic equilibrium model. This model posits that the daily hassles of life could temporarily change individual satisfaction set point, but that the individual will return to their differing baseline of satisfaction depending on their personality (Heady & Wearing, 1989; Larsen, 2000). According to these authors, some individuals have high satisfaction set points while other have lower set points, meaning that people can experience the same situation but differ in their feeling of satisfaction. Another explanation of personality and life satisfaction relationship was advanced by some researchers such as Sheldon and Elliot (1998), Elliot, Sheldon and Church (1997), who advocated that people who pursue self-concordant goals are happy because they have positive self-regard. People who are positive minded puts more effort in goal attainment and subsequent goal achievement makes them happy. This is in line with top-down approach that recognizes the role of personality in life satisfaction of people. Given this background, it is therefore hypothesized that personality will significantly predict workers' life satisfaction.

## **Social support**

Social support is the comfort given to us by our family, friends, coworkers and others. This comfort can be in the form of resources provided by others to assist us. Social support can be instrumental, tangible, informational and emotional. Social support for adults such as workers is conceptualized as coming from three sources including family, friends and

significant others (Cheng & Chan, 2004; Edwards, 2004; Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet & Farley, 1988). These sources of support could help an individual cope with varying life challenges. Kraus (2004) noted that most people turn to social resources in an effort to contain stressful events in life. In this case, support network is an indication of social integration and the more one is integrated, the more one can cope with the effects of stressful life event. Social support has been linked with overall well being (Heady & Wearing, 1992; Young, 2004). Increase in social support has been associated with increase in subjective overall life satisfaction (Young, 2006; Malinauskas, 2010), while lower social support leads to decrease in life satisfaction (Newson & Schulz, 1996). Studies have shown that friends and family support significantly predicted life satisfaction (Au, Lau, Koo, Cheung, Pan & Wong, 2009; Yeung & Fung, 2007)

Stress buffering model (Cohen & Wills, 1985) posits that social support have an effect upon the individual psychological well-being during stressful life events (McCormick, 1999). McCormick posits that the effect of social support is more effective in stressful condition. Prison work-environment has been shown to be stressful for officers (Finn, 2000), probably, the provision of social support to officers may be necessary in reducing impact of stress on the officers thereby leading to improved life satisfaction. In this study, it is hypothesized that social support will significantly predict prisons workers' life satisfaction.

#### Method

# 1. Participants and Procedure

Six hundred and one (601) officers of the Nigerian Prisons Service, in a southeastern state in Nigeria participated in this study. Eighty percent (80.03%) of the participants were men. Their ages ranged from 18 to 60 years with mean age of 38.8 years. Out of the 601 participants surveyed, 68.05% were married while 31.95% were single. The average job tenure of the participants was 18 years, while the minimum educational qualification was First School Leaving Certificate (six years of formal education). The researchers administered the questionnaire to six hundred and forty-one (641) officers of the Nigeria Prisons, in three prisons formation in a southeastern state. Out of the 641 copies of the questionnaire distributed, 619 were returned, representing a return rate of 96.57%. Out of the 619 returned questionnaire, 18 copies were discarded due to improper completion, leaving a total of 601 copies that were used for the data analyses.

#### 2. Instruments

Three sets of instruments were used in this study, The Life Satisfaction Index-Short Form (Barrett & Murk, 2009), The Big Five Inventory (John, Donahue & Kentle, 1991) and The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet & Farley 1988).

## The Life Satisfaction Index-Short Form (LIS-SF)

The Life Satisfaction Index-Short Form (LIS-SF) was developed by Barrett and Murk (2009) to measure overall construct of life satisfaction. The original version of the Life Satisfaction Index (LSI) is a 35-item questionnaire that measures the construct of life satisfaction. The instrument development process using 654 adult participants yielded a Cronbach alpha reliability of .95 (Barrett & Murk, 2009) and high correlation with the Satisfaction with Life Scale (r = .70) (Diener, Emmons, Larsen & Griffin, 1985)

The short version LSI-SF has 12-items and is scored on six-point Likert type structure (1=strongly disagree, 6=strongly agree for item 2, 4, 5&6 while 1=strongly agree, 6= strongly disagree for item 1, 3, 7-12). Barrett and Murk (2009) reported Cronbach alpha reliability of .90 and very high correlation with the original version. For the present study, the item total correlation ranged from .27 to .77.The LSI-SF had Cronbach's alpha reliability of .82; and concurrent validity co-efficient of .66 with the Life Satisfaction Index-Z (Neugarten, Havighurst & Tobin, 1961).

#### The Big five Inventory (BFI)

This is a standardized psychological assessment instrument developed by John, Donahue and Kentle (1991) validated for use with Nigeria sample by Umeh (2004). The instrument contains 44 items designed to measure personality from a five dimension perspective (Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism, and Openness to Experience). Direct scoring is used for all the items. It is scored on a 5-piont scale ranging from 1-5, 1-Disagree strongly, 2-Disagree a little, 3- Neither agree nor disagree, 4-Agree a little and 5-Agree strongly. Values of the numbers shaded are added to obtain the clients scores in each of the subscales. Separate norms have been reported by Umeh (2004) for male and female Nigerian sample.

The coefficients of reliability provided by John et al. (1991) are Cronbach alpha .80 and 3-months test-retest of .85. Big Five Inventory has mean convergent validity coefficient of .75 and .85 with the Big Five Instrument authored by Costa and McCrea (1992) and

Golberg (1992) respectively. The divergent validity coefficient obtained by Umeh (2004) with University Maladjusted Scale (Kleinmuntz,1961) are Extraversion .05, Agreeableness .13, Conscientiousness .11, Neuroticism .39, Openness .24. For the present study, Cronbach's alpha of .83 was obtained.

# Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)

This scale was developed by Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet and Farley (1988) using adult samples. It has been used to measure perceived social support across cultures (Canty-Mitchell & Zimet, 2000; Chou, 2000). The MSPSS has been shown to be relatively free of social desirability bias (Dahlem, Zimet & Walker, 1991). The 12-item MSPSS provides assessment of three sources of support: family support, friends support and significant others support. It is scored on a 5-piont Likert-type structure from 1 "strongly disagree" to 5 "strongly agree". Items 3, 4, 8 and 11 measure family supports; items 6, 7, 9 and 12 measures friend support while items 1, 2, 5, and 10 measures significant other support. Sample items on the scale includes, "I get the emotional help and support I need from my family", "I can count on my friends when things go wrong", "There is a special person who is around when am in need". The factor loading of the items were relatively high. The internal consistencies of the subscales (Cronbach's alpha) are: .78, .76 & .70 for family support, friends support and significant other support respectively.

For the purposes of this study, the item total correlation ranged from .38 to .68. The MSPSS had Cronbach's alpha reliability of .82, and a Concurrent validity coefficient of .73 with the Family Support Inventory for Workers (King, Mattimore, King & Adams, 1995)

Results

TABLE 1: Mean, Standard deviation and Correlations among Variables

		Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Extraversio	25.0	7.2	-								
	n											
2	Agreeablen	22.6	6.5	.21**	-							
	ess											
3	Conscientio	22.5	6.1	.17**	.17**	-						
	usnes											
4	Neuroticis	21.7	6.4	-	-	21**	-					

	m			.37**	.28**							
5	Openness	28.4	9.1	.17**	.02	06	20**	-				
6	Friends	15.0	4.5	.23**	.12**	.17**	18**	.14**	-			
7	Sig. Others	11.5	4.4	.04	.13*	.05	09*	05	.06	-		
8	Family	12.9	4.7	.13**	.07	.15**	15**	.02	.08	.09	-	
9	Life satisfaction	36.4	12.9	.50**	.27**	.26**	55**	.16**	.31	.15	.25	-

*Note:* \*= P < .05; \*\* = P < .01

Result of the correlational analyses showed that the relationship of personality and social support to life satisfaction were statistically significant. Among the personality traits, neuroticism (r = -.55, p < .01) was the strongest negative correlate of life satisfaction while extraversion (r = .50, p < .01) emerged as the strongest positive correlates of life satisfaction. Friends support (r = .31, p < .01) was the strongest correlate of life satisfaction among the social support dimensions.

TABLE 2: Regression analysis on personality and social support as predictors of life satisfaction among prisons' workers

	Std. Error	Beta	t
Extraversion	.063	.28	7.95**
Agreeableness	.067	.067	1.97*
Conscientiousness	.071	.081	2.40 *
Neuroticism	.073	35	-9.27**
Openness	.047	.024	.722
Friend	.09	.14	4.27**
Sig. others	.09	.07	2.16*
Family	.089	.125	3.82**

Note: \*\*= P < .01; \*= P < .05.

The table above showed that the first null hypothesis was not supported as predictor variables of Extraversion ( $\beta$  = .28, p < .01), Agreeableness (( $\beta$  = .07, p < .05)), and Conscientiousness ( $\beta$  = .08, p < .05) positively predicted life satisfaction while Neuroticism ( $\beta$ 

= -.35, p < .01)) negatively predicted life satisfaction of participants. Openness was not a significant predictor of life satisfaction. However, this result indicated that apart from openness, other personality traits significantly predicted life satisfaction.

The second hypothesis was also supported as the result showed that friends support ( $\beta$  =0.14, p < .01), significant others support ( $\beta$  = 0.07, p < 0.05) and family support ( $\beta$  = 0.12, p < .01) positively predicted life satisfaction. This result indicated that social support was a significant predictor of life satisfaction among the participants.

#### Discussion

The results demonstrated that personality was a significant predictor of workers' life satisfaction. The result showed that extraversion, agreeableness and conscientiousness had positive and significant relationship with life satisfaction, while neuroticism had negative and significant relationship with life satisfaction. Openness had positive but non-significant relationship with life satisfaction.

The emergence of neuroticism as the strongest predictor of life satisfaction among the participants was not surprising as neurotic individual are characterized by a tendency to select themselves into situation that foster negative affect(Emmons, Diener, & Larsen, 1985),tend to experience negative events (Heady & Wearing, 1989), and show preferential attention to negative stimuli (Rusting & Larsen, 1998). Officers who have dominant traits of neuroticism are very much incapable of facing frustration when under pressure, hence leading to greatest level of life dissatisfaction. On the contrary, adaptive coping style, characteristics of emotional stable individual was associated with higher level of life satisfaction. Also, extraversion emerged as the strongest positive predictor of life satisfaction. Extroverts is associated with positive reappraisal coping style (Watson & Hubbard, 1996). These findings are in line with studies in other countries and settings (e.g., Schimmack, et al 2004; Joshanloo & Afshari, 2011; Bratko & Sabol, 2006; Vitterse, 2001; Chen, Tu, & Wang, 2008), that found that extraversion and neuroticism were strong predictors of life satisfaction.

Agreeableness predicted life satisfaction among the Prison officers. The reason for this result may be due to the observation of McCrea and Costa (1991) that agreeable individual have greater motivation to achieve interpersonal intimacy. These intimacies in the form of friendship serve as a stress booster, hence bolstering life satisfaction in a positive and significant way. Individuals who have dominant traits of conscientiousness are careful, thorough and disciplined with a strong sense of responsibility, thus contributing to life

satisfaction in a significant and positive way. Openness to experience did not significantly predict life satisfaction, probably because openness to experience is a 'double-edge sword' that predispose individual to feel both the good and the bad more deeply (DeNeve & Cooper, 1998).

Also the results support our hypothesis that social support will significantly predict workers life satisfaction. This result corroborates earlier research findings (e.g., Au, et al 2009; Young, 2006; Yeung & Fung, 2007) that support of friends and family significantly predicted life satisfaction. Stress buffering model posit that when faced with troubling situation and stress, individual with greatest support from family and friends are less likely to become depressed than individual with lower level of support. Since the prisons workenvironment have been found to be stressful (Finn, 2000), the presence of perceived social support, may have contributed to differences in life satisfaction of the workers.

The results highlight the importance of social support for the Nigerian worker. Nigerian social environment is characterized by community network and people tend to extend their pattern of living in the community to work environment. People therefore value co-worker support. It is also interesting to note that friends support seems to be the most significant predictor of life satisfaction of the workers. This is understandable, for majority of the prison officers spend the better part of their day in the workplace. Many of them also live in quarters that are restricted to prison officers only. This means that support from friends means a lot to them and may be very significant in their general satisfaction with life. Also the result may be explained from the point of view of the nature of work in the prisons. Most of the work in the prisons is team- oriented. Working in team creates opportunity for officers to seek support from among themselves hence bolstering workers life satisfaction level.

Finally, this study shows that extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, friend support, significant others and family support as a model jointly accounted for 45% of the variations in life satisfaction scores among prison officers. This result advocates for interactive model of personality traits and social support as a sure way of achieving life satisfaction. The result of this study is therefore meaningful and in line with the findings reported in other parts of the world. This demonstrates the applicability of life satisfaction construct in Nigeria and the study provides opportunity for further studies of life satisfaction in Nigeria.

One important implication of this study is on the area of personnel development. This also suggests that prisons officers' personality traits should be considered when deciding on

the training and motivational system that would be most satisfying to an officer. The results have also implications for clinical practices especially as it relates to counseling. There is need to encourage interpersonal relationship and support groups within workplace for these have implications for general well being and life satisfaction.

Although this study contributes significantly to our understanding of the contributions of personality and social support to life satisfaction among prisons officers in Nigeria, there are some factors that limits the generalization of the results. One limitation of this study is that it focused on just one occupational group, prisons officers. The replication of the current study in other occupational areas may be important in generalizing the results. Another limitation of the study is on the number of the variables studied. Other variables such as family background including number of dependants, and other perceptual factors could also contribute to life satisfaction of prison personnel beyond the effect of personality and social support. The exclusive reliance on self-report measure may have led to common method bias associated to such research. Finally, all measures in the present study were collected on a single questionnaire at one time. A longitudinal study may help us to establish cause and effect relationship.

## **Conclusion**

Despite the limitations of the present study, it expands our knowledge in many ways. Although earlier studies have linked personality and social support with life satisfaction, this study was one of the first attempts to empirically investigate correlates of life satisfaction in Nigeria. Also, the study extended life satisfaction study to neglected occupational group such as employees in the prisons services. The present findings also demonstrate that life satisfaction may also mean the same thing in Nigeria as percieved in other developed Western countries. The study therefore opens opportunity for further research in other parts of Africa and across different occupational groups.

## **References:**

Agbo, A. A., Nzeadibe, T. C., & Ajaero, C. K. (2012). Happiness in Nigeria: A socio-cultural analysis. In H. Selin & G. Davey (Eds.), *Happiness across cultures: Views of happiness and quality of life in non-Western cultures*. New York: Springer.

Au, A., Lau, K., Koo, S., Cheung, G., Pan, P. C., & Wong, M. K. (2009). The effect of social support on depressive symptom and life satisfaction in dementia caregivers in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Journal of Psychiatry*, *19*: 57-64

Barrett, A. J., & Murk, P. J. (2009). Life Satisfaction Index for the Third Age- Short Form. *Proceedings of the 2009 Midwest Research to Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, Community and Extension Education*. Chicago: Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago Bratko, D., & Sabol, J. (2006). Personality and basic psychological needs as predictors of life satisfaction: Result of on-line study. *Journal of General Social Issues*, *15*: 4-5

Brodsky, C. .M. (1982). Work stress in correctional institutions. *Journal of Prison and Jail Health*, 2, 74-102.

Canty-Mitchell, J., & Zimet, G. D. (2000). Psychometric properties of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support in urban adolescents. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 28: 391-400.

Check, F. E., & Miller, M. D. (1982). Reducing stress in correctional institutions. *Corrections Today*, 44: 72-78.

Cheek, F. E., & Miller, M. D. (1983). The experience of stress for correction officers: A double-bind theory of correctional stress. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, *11*: 105-112.

Chen, L. S. L., Tu, H. H. J., & Wang, E. S. T. (2008). Personality traits and life satisfaction among online game players. *Cyber Psychology & Behaviour, 11:* 145-149.

Chou, K. L., (2000). Assessing Chinese adolescents' social support: the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 28: 299-307.

Clayson, D. E., & Sheffet, M. .J. (2006). Personality and the student evaluation of teaching. *Journal of Marketing Education* 28: 149-160.

Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 98: 310-357.

Costa, P. T., Jr., & McCrae, R. R. (1989). *The NEO-PI/NEO-FFI manual supplement*, Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.

Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Revised NEO Personality Inventory (Neo-Pi-R) and NEO Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) professional manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.

Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1998). Personality Assessment. In H. S. Friedman, (Ed), *Encyclopedia of Mental Health (Vol. 3)*. San Diego: Academic Press.

Cullen, F. T., Link, B. G., Cullen, J. B., Wolfe, N. T. (1990). How satisfying is prison work? A comparative occupational approach. *Journal of Offender Counseling, Services, and Rehabilitation*, 14: 89-108.

Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, G. D., & Walker, R. R. (1991). The multidimensional scale of perceived social support: A confirmation study. *Journal of Clinical psychology*, *47*: 756-761. David, J. P., & Suls, J. (1999). Coping efforts in daily life: Role of Big-Five traits and problem appraisals. *Journal of Personality*, *6*: 265-294.

DeNeve, K. M., & Cooper, H. (1998). The happy personality: A meta-analysis of 137 personality traits and subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124: 197-229.

Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. Psychological Bulletin, 95: 542-575.

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction with Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49: 71-75.

Edwards, L. (2004). Measuring perceived social support in Mexican American youth: Psychometric properties of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 26: 187-194.

Elliot, A. J., Sheldon, K. M., & Church, M. A. (1997). Avoidance personal goals and subjective well-being. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 75: 915-927.

Emmons, R. A., Diener, E., & Larsen, R. J. (1985). Choice and avoidance of situations and congruence models of interactionism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 6: 693-702.

Finn, P. (2000). Addressing correctional Officer Stress: Programs and Strategies. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice.

George, L & Bearon, L. (1980). *Quality of life in older persons*. New York: Human Science Press.

Golberg, L. R. (1992). The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure. *Psychological Assessment, 4:* 26-42.

Halvorsen, I., & Heyerdahl, S. (2006). Girls with anorexia nervosa as young adults: Personality, self-esteem, and life satisfaction. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 39: 285-293.

Heady, B., & Wearing, A. (1989). Personality, life event and subjective well-being: Toward a dynamic equilibrium model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *57*: 731-739.

Heady, B., & Wearing, A. (1992). *Understanding Happiness: A theory of subjective well-being*. Melbourne: Longman Cheshire.

Ho, M. Y., Cheung, F. M., & Cheung, S. F. (2008). Personality and life events as predictors of adolescents' life satisfaction: Do life events mediate the link between personality and life satisfaction? *Social Indicators Research*, 8: 475-471.

Hong, S. & Giannakopoulus, E. (1994). The relationship of satisfaction with life to personality characteristics. *The Journal of Psychology, 128:* 547-558.

John, O. P., Donahue, E. M. & Kentle, R. L. (1991). The "Big Five" Inventory Versions 4c and 5a. Berkley: University of California Berkeley, Institute of Personality and Social Research.

Joshanloo, M., & Afshari, S. (2011). Big-five personality traits and self esteem as predictors of life satisfaction in Iranian Muslim university students. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 12: 105-113.

Judge, T. A., & Watanebe, S. (1993). Another look at the Job satisfaction-life satisfaction relationship. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78: 939-948.

Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). The Job satisfaction job performance relationship: A Qualitative and quantitative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127: 376-407.

King, L. A., Mattimore, L. K., King, W. D. & Adams, G. A. (1995). Family Support Inventory for Workers: A new measure of perceived social support from family members. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 16: 235-258

Kleinmnuntz, B. (1961). The College Maladjustment scale (MT): Norms and predictive validity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 21: 1029-1033.

Krause, N. (2004). Lifetime trauma, emotional support, and life satisfaction among older adults. *The Gerontologist*, 44: 615-623.

Larsen, R.J. (2000). Toward a science of mood regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11: 129-141.

Lykken, D.T., & Tellegen, A. (1996). Happiness is a stochastic phenomenon. *Psychological Science*, 7: 186-189.

Magnus, K., Diener, E., Fujita, F., & Pavot, W. (1993). Extraversion and neuroticism as predictors of objective life events: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65: 1046-1053.

Malinauskas, R. (2010). The associations among social support, stress, and life satisfaction as perceived by injured college athletes. *Social Behavior and Personality*, *38*: 741-752.

McCormick, B. P. (1999). Contribution of social support and recreation companionship to life satisfaction among people with persistent mental illness. *Therapeutic Recreation Journal*, *33*: 304-319.

McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (1991). Adding liebe und arbeit: The full five-factor model and well-being. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 17(2): 227-232.

McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T., Jr. (1986). Personality, coping and coping effectiveness in an adult sample. *Journal of Personality*, *54*: 385-405.

Neugarten, B. L., Havighurst, R. J., & Tobin, S. S. (1961). The measurement of life satisfaction. *Journal of Gerontology*, *16*: 134-143.

Newsom, J.T., & Schulz, R. (1996). Social support as a mediator between status and quality of life in older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 11: 34-44.

Robbins, S. B., & Kliewer, W. L. (2000). Advances in theory and research on subjective well-being. In S.D. Brown & R.W. Lent (Eds.). *Handbook of counseling Psychology*. NY: John Wiley & Sons.

Rode, J. C. (2004). Job satisfaction and life satisfaction revisited: A longitudinal test of an integrated model. *Human Relation*, *57*: 1205-1230.

Rusting, C. L., & Larsen, R. J. (1998). Personality and cognitive processing of affective information. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24: 200-213.

Schimmack, U., Oishi, S., Furr, R. M. & Funder, D. C.(2004). Personality and life satisfaction: A facet-level analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *30*: 1062-1075.

Seitz, D. C. M., Hagmann, D., Besier, T., Dieluweit, U., Debatin, K., Grabow, D., Kaatsch, P., Henrich., G., & Goldbeck, L. (2011). Life satisfaction in adult survivors of cancer during adolescence: What contributes to the later satisfaction with life. *Quality of Life Research*, 20: 225-236.

Sheldon, K. M., & Elliot, A. J. (1998). Not all personal goals are personal: Comparing autonomous and controlled reasons for goals as predictors of effort and attainment. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24: 546-557.

Svenson, L. W., Jarvis, G. K., & Campbell, R. L.(1995). Past and current drug use among Canadian correctional officers. *Psychological Reports*, 76: 977-978.

Tellegen, A., Lykken, D. T., Bouchard, T. J., Jr, Wilcox, K. J., Segal, N. L., & Rich, S. (1988). Personality similarity in twins reared apart and together. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *54*: 1031-1039.

Umeh, C. S. (2004). *The Impact of personality characteristics on student's adjustment on campus*. Unpublished Ph.D thesis Department of Psychology, University of Lagos.

Vitterso, J. (2001). Personality traits and subjective well-being: Emotional stability, not extraversion, is probably the important predictor. *Personality and Individual Differences, 31:* 903-914.

Watson, D., & Clark, L. A. (1997). Extraversion and its positive emotional core. In R. Hogan, J.A. Johnson, & S.R. Briggs, (Ed), *Handbook of personality psychology* (pp. 767-793). San Diego: Academic Press.

Watson, D., & Hubbard, B. (1996). Adaptational style and dispositional structure: Coping in the context of the five factor model. *Journal of Personality*, 64: 737-774

Winkelmann, L., & Winkelmann, R. (2008). Personality, work and satisfaction: Evidence form German socio-economic panel. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *4*: 266-275.

Wong, S. S., Oei, T. P. S., Ang, R. P., Lee, B. O., Ng, A. K., & Leng, V. (2007). Personality, meta-mood experience, life satisfaction, and anxiety in Australian versus Singaporean Students. *Current Psychology*, 26: 109-120.

Wright, T. A., & Cropanazano, R.(2000). Psychological well-being and job satisfaction as predictor of job performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5: 84-94.

Yeung, G. T. Y., & Fung, H. H. (2007), Social support and life satisfaction among Hong Kong Chinese older adults. Family first? *European Journal of Aging*, *4*: 219-227.

Young K. W. (2004). Factors predicting overall life satisfaction for people with long term mental illness. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 9: 23-35.

Young, K. W.. (2006). Social support and life satisfaction. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 10: 155-164.

Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, S. G., & Farley, G. K. (1988). The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 52: 30-41.